

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,219	07/10/2001	Russell A. Houser	441742000102	9953
24353	7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			woo, ли	LIAN W
SUITE 200	COLL TAVELLOE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO	ALTO, CA 94303		3731	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ÿ
Office Action Summers		09/903,219	HOUSER ET AL.	·
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julian W. Woo	3731	_
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period out the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 D	<u>ecember 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 104,105,107 and 109-115 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 104, 105, 107, and 109-115 is/are rej Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachmen		· <u>_</u>		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/903,219

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 104, 105, 107, 109, 110, and 112-115 are rejected under 35

 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Penn et al. (6,099,560). With respect to claims

 104, 105, and 115, Penn et al. disclose, in figures, 1, 2, and 5-7 and in col. 7, line11 to

 col. 8, line 7, a system comprising a catheter (195, 200) and a connector (10) with an

 annular structure (15), a plurality of radially deformable compressible members (links at

 30 or 35) having first and second segments (45) extending from the distal end of the

 annular structure (at 40), where the longitudinal axis of a compressible member is

 substantially transverse to the longitudinal axis of the annular structure, where distal

 ends of the segments are attached to each other (to form the tubular structure), the

 compressible member has a first reduced profile and a second expanded profile (see

 figures 1 and 2), where first and second segments are curved when the compressible

 member is in the expanded profile, and where the first and second segments define a

 loop configuration. With respect to claims 107 and 109, the compressible member self
 expands upon removal of the catheter (37) that provides a constraining force. With

Application/Control Number: 09/903,219 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

respect to claim 110, the compressible member comprises a memory elastic material (see col. 7, line 48 to col. 8, line 1). With respect to claims 112 and 113, the radially enlarged profile is substantially circular, if the compressible member is viewed along the longitudinal axis. With respect to claim 114, figure 3 discloses that the compressible member is configured to conform to and buttress surfaces of a vessel. Note: The introductory statement of intended use ("for connecting a tubular graft to a blood vessel") has been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims patentably distinguishable over the device of Penn et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,219

Art Unit: 3731

Claim 111 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penn et al. in view of Lau et al. (5,514,154). Penn et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but do not disclose a memory elastic material consisting of stainless steel, nickel titanium, and thermoset plastic. Lau et al. teach, in col. 6, lines 61-63, the memory elastic materials as claimed for stents. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Lau et al., to choose a memory elastic material as claimed for the device of Penn et al. Such a material possesses the strength, biocompatibility, and elasticity that would allow the device of Penn et al. to be implanted in the body and self-expand radially without the need for balloon catheters.

Page 4

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 104, 105, 107, and 109-115 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Evans et al. (5,709,713), Penn et al. (5,906,640), and Vardi et al. (6,210,429) teach systems each including annular structures and compressible members.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,219

Art Unit: 3731

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/903,219 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Time, alternate Fridays off.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julian W. Woo

Primary Examiner

Julian W. Woo

March 15, 2005